Who's Ready to Surf The Next Wave? A Study of Perceived Challenges to Implementing New and Revised Standards for Archival Description

FRANK LAMBERT and KAREN F. GRACY

Abstract: Archivists in the United States will grapple soon with many changes to archival descriptive standards, including major revisions to Describing Archives: A Content Standard (DACS) and Encoded Archival Description (EAD), widespread adoption of the Encoded Archival Context (EAC) standard, and harmonization of those standards with Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records (FRBR) and Resource Description and Access (RDA). This study aims to measure the degree to which archivists are prepared to cope with this evolution in descriptive practices. A representative national sample of archivists (n=345, 95% confidence, C.I. +/- 5%) recruited from the membership of the SAA was surveyed via Qualtrics using a fiftyquestion questionnaire aimed at: 1) identifying and analyzing their familiarity with these standards; and, 2) assessing perceptions of their readiness to adapt workflows and systems to changes in those standards. In particular, the survey targets perceptions of possible technical challenges and pressures on current resources that may impede adoption of new and revised descriptive standards. Through inferential statistical analysis the researchers tested a variety of hypotheses to determine if there were associations between variables that might be possible predictors of respondents' familiarity with archival standards and their perceived readiness to adapt to changes in those standards. Level of education and type of education possessed by respondents were tested particularly as predictors, using Chi-Square tests to determine p values for each hypothesis. Spearman's *rho* was used to test for relationships between ordinal variables. Overall, it was found that while level of education was not a good predictor of familiarity and confidence in one's ability to adapt to new and revised standards, type of education was a strong predictor of feeling prepared to deal with changes in the archival standards landscape. Additionally, having current knowledge of some standards were correlated positively with knowledge of additional standards at very significant levels (p=0.000).

About the authors:

Karen F. Gracy is Assistant Professor at the School of Library and Information Science, Kent State University. She teaches in the areas of digital preservation, digital curation, and archival studies, with a particular interest in media archives and knowledge representation for cultural heritage resources. Her research interests include preservation and curation of digital media, audiovisual archiving, linked data applications for cultural heritage material, and the social contexts of information creation and use, focusing on ethics and values. Her most recent publications include "Ambition and Ambivalence: A Study of Professional Attitudes Toward Digital Distribution of Archival Moving Images" for *The American Archivist* and "Exploring Methods To Improve Access to Music Resources by Aligning Library Data With Linked Data: A Report of Methodologies and Preliminary Findings," which will appear later this year in the *Journal of the American Society of Information Science & Technology*.

Frank Lambert is an Assistant Professor in the School of Library and Information Science, Kent State University. He teaches in the areas of information organization, qualitative and quantitative research methods, and information technology for information professionals. With research interests in facets of information organization, informetrics, and community and e-government informatics, he has published in journals such as *Information Processing and Management, Cataloging and Classification Quarterly, Public Library Quarterly*, and *Government Information Quarterly*. Lambert also has presented and published in the proceedings of international conferences such as *ASIS&T Annual Meeting* and the *Community Informatics Research Network Annual Conference*.